

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/809,885	03/16/2001	John L. Margrave	11321-P026US	7715	
47744	7590 10/27/2005		EXAMINER		
ROSS SPE	ROSS SPENCER GARSSON			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
WINSTEAD P. O. BOX 5	SECHREST & MINICK I	P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, T			1754		
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/809,885	MARGRAVE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stuart Hendrickson	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat		erits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)	vn from consideration. s/are rejected.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	-		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) . 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The RCE filed 4/13/05 is accepted.

Claims 52-55, 60-66, 70-75, 80-93, 95, 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated

by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chen et al. 'Chemical

attachment ..'.

Chen teaches on the next-to-last page a Birch reduction experiment which appears to possess

the claimed functional loading, given the elemental analysis. (The other experiments appear to

have one group per 35-50 carbons, so do not meet the claims). It is noted that claims 54 and 55

do not require that the linear chain embodiment be chosen. (Claims 67 and 77 are interpreted to

require a metal in the *product*. Amendment to this end is suggested.)

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims above have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson

at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson

examiner Art Unit 1754